Web search & Scholar

- Web search indexes all documents
  - Scholar indexes scholarly articles
- Web search needs document text
  - Scholar also needs bibliographic info
- Web search indexes each url independently
  - Scholar groups all versions of a work
  - Scholar result corresponds to entire group
Indexing how-tos

- Web search: webmaster console
  - Covers broad range of topics
  - Provides detailed coverage information
  - Crawl errors, server errors, breakages, etc

- Scholar: inclusion help pages
  - Linked from homepage
  - Detailed guidelines, FAQs
What does indexing need?

- List of all article urls
- Ability to fetch article urls
- What we index is what the user sees
- Identify scholarly articles
- Determine article metadata

Web search Scholar

Scholar
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List of articles - I

- Pitfall: Search-only interface
  - Treesearch (US Forest service repository)
  - BCIN (Conservation Information Network)
  - No way to list all articles
  - What we don’t know about, we can’t index
List of articles - II

- Pitfall: List-based browse (click “Next”)
  - Web scale crawlers are designed for volume
  - Crawl all sites in parallel, per-site doesn’t scale
  - Batches of urls, each batch assigned X hours
  - One “Next” is scheduled in each batch
  - 25 articles per “Next” => 100s of “Next”s
  - DSpace/Fedora default browse
List of articles - III

- Pitfall: Hard to find recent additions
  - Eg: browse only for individual collections
  - Collections structure mirrors org structure
  - No date sort or recent additions list
  - Some DSpace/Fedora instances skip “By Date”
List of articles - IV

- Best practice: Year-month browse
  - Linked from homepage - EPrints
  - Helps crawlers as well as users

- Best practice: Article sitemap
  - Include urls for ALL articles
  - Linked from robots.txt or homepage
  - DSpace if sitemaps are enabled
Fetch articles - I

- Pitfall: AJAX used to fetch article text
  - AGRIS (FAO), OSTI (Dept of Energy, fixed), EUDML (European Math Library, fixed)
  - Security issues limit execution within indexer
  - Article text not seen by indexer
  - AJAX for main content doesn’t help UI either
  - User needs to wait either way
Fetch articles - II

- Pitfall: Fetching fulltext requires POST
  - Eg: POST for download button
  - Possible reason: tracking downloads
  - Dynamic urls with GET are just as easy to track
  - POST forms mostly used for update ops
  - Update account, upload article, delete info etc
  - Crawlers skip POST to avoid causing updates
Fetch articles - III

- Pitfall: Splitting theses into chapters
  - Theses are large, can take a while to download
  - Few years ago, network speeds were slower
  - Less of an issue these days
  - Indexer can’t know how to put pieces together
  - Individual chapters aren’t citable
  - Theses available as chapters indexed only in web search, not indexed in Scholar
Fetch articles - IV

- Pitfall: Fulltext hosted elsewhere
  - Articles elsewhere not part of repository
  - If indexed, provide visibility to hosting site, not repository
  - URLs may or may not be available to crawlers
  - Remote site may be roboted or restricted
  - Embedded metadata can be associated only with on-site fulltext (Scholar)
Fetch articles - IV

- Best practice: Include text directly on page
  - Avoid Javascript for fetching indexable text
  - Javascript better for user interaction or auxiliary features (stats, related articles, etc...)
  - For main content, need to wait either way

- Best practice: HTTP GET for article text
  - Reserve POST for repository updates
Fetch articles - V

- Best practice: Include full thesis versions
  - Mark the full version (Scholar)

- Best practice: Host fulltext locally
  - Maximize visibility of repository
  - Ensure availability to crawlers
  - Ensure association of metadata with fulltext
What we index is what you see

- **Pitfall:** Interstitial when clicking on fulltext
  - Terms of use, registration
  - Users expect to see article
  - If shown other pages, click back immediately
  - Learn to avoid clicking on repository in future
  - Seen as cloaking and removed by web search
What we index is what you see

- Pitfall: Redirect PDF to landing page
  - Possibly to help with usage analytics
  - Users clicking on PDF links are looking for fulltext
  - If no PDF, they click back, learn to stay away
  - Seen as cloaking and removed by web search
What we index is what you see

- Best practice: Skip interstitials for users clicking on search results
  - One-time terms-of-use doesn’t work either
  - Search users see few articles from a repository
- Best practice: PDF urls get fulltext PDF
  - For analytics, server API can replace Javascript
Scholar specific guidelines

- Scholar indexes scholarly articles, books, reports, theses, etc...
  - Need to identify bibliographic information
  - Title, authors, where/how published, when
  - Need to determine if in-scope for Scholar
Is it scholarly - I

- Pitfall: No machine-readable metadata
  - Need article metadata for determination
  - Automated analysis of HTML/PDF, formats vary
  - HTML with CSS is, ahem, versatile
  - Analysis of scanned articles depends on OCR
  - Machine-readable metadata via metatags
  - PURE, Islandora, VTLS, Treesearch
Is it scholarly - II

- Best practice: Embed machine-readable metadata as metatags on landing page
  - We recommend Highwire Press metatags
  - Provide sufficient detail for scholarly articles
  - Structured fields for jrn/vol/iss/pages/year
  - citation_pdf_url to associate with PDF fulltext
  - Dublin Core as last resort (key fields missing)
Pitfall: Drop authors from other institutions
  – Usually caused by interaction with CRIS
  – CRIS’s tend to focus on local authors

Pitfall: Reorder author list
  – Often due to treating authors as a set, not list

Pitfall: Include all contributors as authors
  – Advisors, thesis committees common case
Pitfall: Use upload date as publication date
- Often via bulk uploads (no date specified)
- “Some date is better than no date…”
- Missing data can be inferred from elsewhere
- Wrong data is much harder to override
- Scholar tries to auto-identify problem sites
- Drops sites with large number of broken dates
Pitfall: Add cover pages to fulltext PDF
- Usually branding, download timestamp etc
- Often breaks automated metadata extraction
- Article titles don’t usually appear on 2\textsuperscript{nd}/3\textsuperscript{rd} pg
- Have seen up to three leading pages inserted
- Can result in systematic drop in coverage
- Best practice: Use author list as in article
  - Other versions not suitable for repository
  - Local-authors: suitable only in CRIS context
  - Only authors are “authors”, others are ack’ed

- Best practice: No default publication dates
  - Publication date is either specified or empty
  - Add separate field for upload date
Best practice: Host PDF articles as-is

- Avoid cover pages
- Fulltext articles match many more queries
- Systematic drop of fulltext has huge impact on visibility
Measuring coverage

- Pitfall: Using result count for site: queries
  - Does NOT work in any web search service
  - Result count is an broad approximation
  - Intended to help with query formulation
  - Version grouping in Scholar another issue
  - site: on Scholar applies to main links
  - Doesn’t cover “all versions”
Measuring coverage - II

- Pitfall: Using result count of filetype queries
  - Counts for all queries broad approximations
  - Filetype: queries not suitable for Scholar
  - Scholar groups all versions
  - Individual versions not returned as results
  - Not possible to limit to particular version type
Measuring coverage - III

- **Best practice: Random sampling**
  - Pick a small random sample of article titles
  - Use intitle:”<TITLE>” as the query
  - Web search: check matching results
  - Scholar: also check “all XX versions” page
Analysis of repository platforms

- **Indexing features**
  - Article list, fetching articles, identifying scholarly articles, article metadata

- **Platforms**
  - EPrints, DSpace, Digital Commons, PURE
EPrints

- Indexing features: zero config since 2007
  - Almost all instances have indexing features
- List all articles: year-month browse
- Machine-readable metadata as metatags
  - Metadata model handles articles & theses
- EPrints repositories well-indexed
DSpace

- Indexing features: require configuration
  - Highwire press metatags default since 1.7
- List of articles: “Next” clicks by default
- Metadata model is general
  - Journal article details require customization
- Instances with recent release well-indexed
  - Large new repositories can take a while
Digital Commons

- Indexing features: some configuration
- List of articles: by collection
  - Recent additions by default, no sitemap
- Machine-readable metadata as metatags
  - Metadata model handles articles & theses
- DC repositories often well-indexed
  - Large new repositories can take a while
- Indexing features: require custom upgrade
- List of articles: no crawl-friendly browse
  - No sitemap
- No machine-readable metadata by default
- Limited coverage for PURE-only repositories
  - Some sites use PURE for CRIS + a repository
Recommendations for platforms

- Indexing features that just work
  - No configuration needed to enable
  - Features wanted by almost all repositories
  - Blocking indexing is easy via robots.txt
    User-agent: *
   Disallow: /
  - Auto-enable huge success for OJS!
Recommendations for platforms -II

- Comprehensive & efficient browse
  - Year-month browse linked from homepage
  - OR sitemap linked from robots.txt
  - Timely indexing of large repositories
  - Rapid pick up of new additions
Recommendations for platforms - III

- Embed machine-readable metadata
  - Decouple UI from content
  - Customize HTML pages without losing coverage
  - Use citation_pdf_url to associate metadata with fulltext
Recommendations for platforms - III

- Metadata model suited for scholarly articles
  - Journal articles: journal/volume/issue/pages
  - Conf articles: conf name/pages
  - Dissertations: issuing institution

- Separate upload date & publication date
  - No default publication date
Recommendations for platforms - IV

- Author lists exactly as in the article itself
  - Separate CRIS and repository features
  - Separate fields for non-author contributors
- Server-side analytics API support
  - Enables analytics for non-HTML items
Recommendations for platforms - V

- Automated analysis to help identify metadata problems
  - Too many articles with same publication date
  - Too many PDFs with sparse covers
  - Too many titles with common prefix/suffix
    - “Analysis of Magic Rites – University of X”
  - Author names with known affiliation keywords
    - “John Doe, University of Y”
Finally...

- A few key features enable indexing
  - Repositories with these features indexed well
- Indexing features should be on by default
  - All repositories want to be well-indexed
- Shared goal: make it easy to find research
  - Contact us if you run into issues
  - Would love to help identify/fix problems